arXiv:1703.01563v2 [math.NT] 29 Mar 2017

A MOD-p ARTIN-TATE CONJECTURE, AND GENERALIZED HERBRAND-RIBET

DIPENDRA PRASAD

March 30, 2017

Abstract. Following the natural instinct that when a group operates on a number field then every term in the class number formula should factorize 'compatibly' according to the representation theory (both complex and modular) of the group, we are led — in the spirit of Herbrand-Ribet's theorem on the p-component of the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ — to some natural questions about the p-part of the classgroup of any CM Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ as a module for $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. The compatible factorization of the class number formula is at the basis of *Stark's conjecture*, where one is mostly interested in factorizing the regulator term — whereas for us in this paper, we put ourselves in a situation where the regulator term can be ignored, and it is the factorization of the classnumber that we seek. All this is presumably part of various 'equivariant' conjectures in arithmetic-geometry, such as 'equivariant Tamagawa number conjecture', but the literature does not seem to address this question in any precise way. In trying to formulate these questions, we are naturally led to consider $L(0,\rho)$, for ρ an Artin representation, in situations where this is known to be nonzero and algebraic, and it is important for us to understand if this is $\mathfrak{p}$-integral for a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of the ring of algebraic integers $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathbb{C}$, that we call *mod-p Artin-Tate conjecture*. As an attentive reader will notice, the most minor term in the class number formula, the number of roots of unity, plays an important role for us — it being the only term in the denominator, is responsible for all poles!

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Remarks on Herbrand-Ribet theorem 3
3. Generalizing Herbrand-Ribet for CM number fields 7
4. Deligne-Ribet 9
5. Integrality of Abelian L-values for $\mathbb{Q}$ 9
6. Class number formula again 12
7. Epilogue 13
References 14

1. Introduction

Let F be a number field contained in $\mathbb{C}$ with $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ its algebraic closure in $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible Galois representation with $L(s,\rho)$ its associated Artin L-function. According to a famous conjecture of Artin, $L(s,\rho)$ has an analytic continuation to an entire function on $\mathbb{C}$ unless ρ is the trivial representation, in which case it has a unique pole at $s = 1$ which is simple.

More generally, let M be an irreducible motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $L(s, M)$ its associated L-function. According to Tate, $L(s, M)$ has an analytic continuation to an entire function on $\mathbb{C}$ unless M is a twisted Tate motive $\mathbb{Q}[j]$ with $\mathbb{Q}[1]$ the motive associated to $\mathbb{G}_m$. For the motive $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}[0]$, $L(s, \mathbb{Q}) = \zeta_{\mathbb{Q}}(s)$, the usual Riemann zeta function, which has a unique pole at $s = 1$ which is simple. The L-function associated to $\mathbb{Q}[j]$ is then the Riemann zeta function shifted by j (on the left or right, which we will come to if necessary).

This paper will deal with certain Artin representations $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for which we will know a priori that $L(0, \rho)$ is a nonzero algebraic number (in particular, F will be totally real). It is then an important question to understand the nature of the algebraic number $L(0, \rho)$: to know if it is an algebraic integer, but if not, what are its possible denominators. We think of the possible denominators in $L(0, \rho)$, as existence of poles for $L(0, \rho)$, at the corresponding prime ideals of $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$. It is thus analogous to the conjectures of Artin and Tate, both in its aim — and as we will see — in its formulation. Since we have chosen to understand L-values at 0 instead of 1 which is where Artin and Tate conjectures are formulated, there is an 'ugly' twist by ω — the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the p-th roots of unity — throughout the paper, giving a natural character $\omega : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$, also a character of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/L)$ for L any algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, as well as a character of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ if L is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing p-th roots of unity; if there are no non-trivial p-th roots of unity in L, we will define ω to be the trivial character of $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$.

We now fix some notation. We will fix an isomorphism of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ with $\mathbb{C}$ where $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ is a fixed algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the field of p-adic numbers. This allows one to define $\mathfrak{p}$, a prime ideal in $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$, the integral closure of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathbb{C}$, over the prime ideal generated by p in $\mathbb{Z}$. The prime p will always be an odd prime in this paper.

All the finite dimensional representations of finite groups in this paper will take values in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, and therefore in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, as well as $\mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$. It thus makes sense to talk of 'reduction modulo $\mathfrak{p}$' of (complex) representations of finite groups. These reduced representations are well defined up to semi-simplification on vector spaces over $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ (theorem of Brauer-Nesbitt).

If F is a finite Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with Galois group G, then it is well-known that the zeta function $\zeta_F(s)$ can be factorized as

$$\zeta_F(s) = \prod_\rho L(s, \rho)^{\dim \rho},$$

where ρ ranges over all the irreducible complex representations of G, and $L(s, \rho)$ denotes the Artin L-function associated to ρ.

According to the class number formula, we have,

$$\zeta_F(s) = -\frac{hR}{w} s^{r_1+r_2-1} + \text{ higher order terms},$$

where r_1, r_2, h, R, w are the standard invariants associated to F: r_1, the number of real embeddings; r_2, number of pairs of complex conjugate embeddings which are not real; h, the class number of F; R, the regulator, and w the number of roots of unity in F.

The paper considers ζ_E/ζ_F where E is a CM field with F its totally real subfield, in which case $r_1 + r_2$ is the same for E as for F, and the regulators of E and F too are the same except for a possible power of 2. Therefore for τ the complex conjugation on $\mathbb{C}$,

$$\zeta_E/\zeta_F = \prod_{\rho(\tau)=-1} L(0,\rho)^{\dim \rho} = \frac{h_E/h_F}{w_E/w_F},$$

where each of the L-values $L(0,\rho)$ in the above expression are nonzero algebraic numbers by a theorem of Siegel.

In this identity, observe that L-functions are associated to $\mathbb{C}$-representations of $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$, whereas the classgroups of E and F are finite Galois modules. Modulo some details, we basically assert that for each odd prime p, each irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-representation ρ contributes a certain number of copies of $\bar{\rho}$ to the classgroup of E tensored with $\mathbb{F}_p$ modulo the classgroup of F tensored with $\mathbb{F}_p$ (up to semi-simplification). This is exactly what happens for $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ by the theorems of Herbrand and Ribet which is one of the main motivating example for all that we do here, and this is what we will review next.

2. Remarks on Herbrand-Ribet theorem

In this section we make some remarks on the Herbrand-Ribet theorem from the point of view of this paper. We refer to [Ri1] for the original work of Ribet, and [Was] for an exposition on the theorem together with a proof of Herbrand's theorem.

There are actually two simplifying aspects to their context. Since Herbrand-Ribet theorem is dealing with the p-component of the classgroup for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$, and the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$, a cyclic group of order $(p-1)$, the action of the Galois group is semi-simple, and the p-component of the classgroup can be written as a direct sum of eigenspaces for $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$.

The second — and more serious — aspect of Herbrand-Ribet theorem is that among the characters of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}) = (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$, only the odd characters, i.e., characters $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ with $\chi(-1) = -1$ present themselves — as it is only for these that there is any result about the χ-eigencomponent in the classgroup, and even among these, the Teichmüller character $\omega : (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ plays a role different from other characters of $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$. (Note that earlier we have used ω for the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the p-th roots of unity, giving a natural character $\omega : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$, as well as to its restriction to $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/L)$ for L any algebraic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$, the two roles that ω will play throughout the paper are actually the same.)

To elaborate on this aspect (the role of only 'odd' characters in Herbrand-Ribet theorem), observe that the class number formula

$$\zeta_F(s) = -\frac{hR}{w} s^{r_1+r_2-1} + \text{ higher order terms},$$

can be considered both for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ as well as its maximal real subfield $F^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$. It is known that, cf. Prop 4.16 in [Was],

$$R/R^+ = 2^{\frac{p-3}{2}},$$

where R is the regulator for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and R^+ is the regulator for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$. We will similarly denote h and h^+ to be the order of the two class groups, with $h^- = h/h^+$, an integer.

Dividing the class number formula of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ by that of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$, we find,

$$\prod_{\chi \text{ an odd character of } (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times} L(0,\chi) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{h}{h^+} \cdot 2^{\frac{p-3}{2}}, \qquad (*)$$

the factor $1/p$ arising because there are $2p$ roots of unity in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and only 2 in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)^+$.

It is known that for χ an odd character of $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$, $L(0,\chi)$ is an algebraic number which is given in terms of the generalized Bernoulli number $B_{1,\chi}$ as follows:

$$L(0,\chi) = -B_{1,\chi} = -\frac{1}{p}\sum_{a=1}^{a=p} a\chi(a).$$

It is easy to see that $pB_{1,\omega^{p-2}} \equiv (p-1) \bmod p$ since $a\omega^{p-2}(a)$ is the trivial character of $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$ whereas for all the other characters of $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$, $L(0,\chi)$ is not only an algebraic number but is p-adic integral (but may not be a p-adic unit!); all this is clear by looking at the expression:

$$L(0,\chi) = -B_{1,\chi} = -\frac{1}{p}\sum_{a=1}^{a=p} a\chi(a).$$

Rewrite the equation $(*)$ up to p-adic units as,

$$\prod_{\substack{\chi \text{ an odd character of } (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times \\ \chi \neq \omega^{p-2} = \omega^{-1}}} L(0,\chi) = \frac{h}{h^+},$$

where we note that both sides of the equality are p-adic integral elements; in fact, since all characters $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ take values in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $L(0,\chi) \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. This when interpreted — just an interpretation in the optic of this paper without any suggestions for proof in either direction! — for each χ component on the two sides of this equality amounts to the theorem of Herbrand and Ribet which asserts that p divides $L(0,\chi) = -B_{1,\chi}$ for χ an odd character of $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$, which is not ω^{p-2}, if and only if the corresponding χ^{-1}-eigencomponent of the classgroup of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is nontrivial. (Note the χ^{-1}, and not χ!) Furthermore, the character ω does not appear in the p-classgroup of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. It can happen that $L(0,\chi)$ is divisible by higher powers of p than 1, and one expects — this is not proven yet! — that in such cases, the corresponding χ^{-1}-eigencomponent of the classgroup of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is $\mathbb{Z}/p^{(\mathrm{val}_p L(0,\chi))}$, i.e., it has p-rank 1. (By Mazur-Wiles [Ma-Wi], χ^{-1}-eigencomponent of the classgroup of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is of order $p^{(\mathrm{val}_p L(0,\chi))}$.)

The work of Ribet was to prove that if $p|B_{1,\chi}$, χ^{-1}-eigencomponent of the classgroup of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is nontrivial by constructing an unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ by using a congruence between a holomorphic cusp form and an Eisenstein series on $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q})$.

In the work of Herbrand and Ribet, one often uses the Kummer congruence, cf. [Was], that if n is an odd integer with $n+1 \not\equiv 0 \bmod (p-1)$, then

$$B_{1,\omega^n} \equiv \frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \bmod p,$$

and both sides are p-adic integral (where B_i are Bernoulli numbers), to replace $p|B_{1,\chi}$ to a condition on a Bernoulli number.

To understand the special role played by the odd integer $n = p-2$ in Herbrand-Ribet theorem in greater generality, it will be nice to have a mod-p Artin-Tate conjecture, i.e., a mod-p analogue of the expectation that "a motivic L-function $L(s,\rho)$ has a pole at $s=1$ if and only if ρ contains the trivial representation". The way it plays a role for us is that in the decomposition (removing factors co-prime to p),

$$\prod_{\chi \text{ an odd character of } (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times} L(0,\chi) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot \frac{h}{h^+},$$

not all elements on the left hand side of this equality are p-integral; in fact, only one factor $L(0,\omega^{-1})$ is not p-integral (simple pole!); this is matched exactly with $1/p$ appearing on the right. Removing these non p-integral elements, we get:

$$\prod_{\substack{\chi \text{ an odd character of } (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times \\ \chi \neq \omega^{p-2} = \omega^{-1}}} L(0,\chi) = \frac{h}{h^+}.$$

Now, we are dealing with (the order of) a certain group on the right hand side which can be decomposed into its various χ^{-1}-eigencomponents, and on the left hand side there is the corresponding $L(0,\chi)$. For this matching to be possible, $L(0,\chi)$ must be p-integral!

The following is what we call 'mod-p Artin-Tate conjecture', which extends the $\mathfrak{p}$-integrality property of $L(0,\chi) = -B_{1,\chi} = -\frac{1}{p}\sum_{a=1}^{a=p} a\chi(a)$, encountered and used earlier. More generally, for any Dirichlet character $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/f)^\times \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ of conductor f, and with $\chi(-1) = -1$,

$$L(0,\chi) = -B_{1,\chi} = -\frac{1}{f}\sum_{a=1}^{f} a\chi(a).$$

The integrality property of $L(0,\chi)$ for general odd character χ of conductor $f = p^r$ is also in accordance with the conjecture; see for example, Lemma 4 of Chapter 10 of [Lang], according to which if $f = p^r$, i.e. $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/p^r)^\times \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$, then $L(0,\chi) = B_{1,\chi} = 1/(\chi(1+p)-1)$ up to a p-adic unit if χ mod-$\mathfrak{p}$ which is a character of $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$ is the inverse of the Teichmüller character.

Conjecture 1. *(mod p analogue of the Artin-Tate conjecture) Let F be a totally real number field, and let $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ be an irreducible representation of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ cutting out a finite CM extension E of F with E not totally real. In this case, it is known as a consequence of Siegel's theorem that $L(0,\rho)$ is algebraic and nonzero. Suppose that the mod $\mathfrak{p}$ representation $\omega \otimes \bar{\rho}$ does not contain the trivial representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$*

where ω is the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ on the p-th roots of unity. Then:

$$L(0,\rho) \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p.$$

Remark 1. If for an Artin representation $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$, $\mathrm{Ind}(\rho)$ denotes the corresponding induced representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, then by a well-known property of Artin L-functions, we know that

$$L(s,\rho) = L(s, \mathrm{Ind}(\rho)).$$

Further, by Frobenius reciprocity, since the character ω is actually for $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, it follows that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})}[\mathrm{Ind}(\rho), \omega^{-1}] \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)}[\rho, \omega^{-1}].$$

Thus, for Conjecture 1, it suffices to assume that $F = \mathbb{Q}$.

Remark 2. In the examples that I know, which are for characters $\chi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ with $\chi = \omega^{-1} \pmod p$, if $L(0,\chi)$ has a (mod p) pole, the pole is of order 1; more precisely, if $L = \mathbb{Q}_p[\chi(\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p))]$ is the subfield of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ generated by the image under χ of the decomposition group at p, then $L(0,\chi)$ is the inverse of a uniformizer of this field L. It would be nice to know if this is the case for characters χ of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ for F arbitrary.

Question 1. In conjecture 1, it is possible that for ρ irreducible, as long as it is not one dimensional, $L(0,\rho) \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ even if $\bar{\rho}$ contains the character ω^{-1}, because the other components of $\bar{\rho}$ could contribute a zero (mod p)?

Conjecture 2. *Let F be a totally real number field, and let $\bar{\rho} : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ be a semi-simple modular representation of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ cutting out a finite CM extension E of F with E not totally real. Assume that $\omega \otimes \bar{\rho}$ does not contain the trivial representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ where ω is the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ on the p-th roots of unity. Then it is possible to define $\bar{L}(0,\bar{\rho}) \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with*

$$\bar{L}(0, \bar{\rho}_1 + \bar{\rho}_2) = \bar{L}(0,\bar{\rho}_1) \cdot \bar{L}(0,\bar{\rho}_2),$$

for any two such representations $\bar{\rho}_1$ and $\bar{\rho}_2$, and such that, if $\bar{\rho}$ arises as the semi-simplification of reduction mod-p of a representation $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ cutting out a finite CM extension E of F with E not totally real, then $L(0,\rho)$ which belongs to $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ by Conjecture 1 has its reduction mod-p to be $\bar{L}(0,\bar{\rho})$.

The conjecture above requires that if two representations $\rho_1, \rho_2 : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ have the same semi-simplification mod-p and do not contain the character ω^{-1}, then $L(0,\rho_1)$ and $L(0,\rho_2)$ (which are in $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ by Conjecture 1) have the same reduction mod-p. By a well-known theorem of Brauer, a modular representation $\bar{\rho}$ can be lifted to a virtual representation $\sum n_i \rho_i$ in characteristic 0. However, since $L(0,\rho_i)$ may be zero mod-p, for some i (for which $n_i < 0$), the theorem of Brauer does not guarantee that $L(0,\bar{\rho})$ can be defined.

3. Generalizing Herbrand-Ribet for CM number fields

In this section we describe a natural context for generalizing Herbrand-Ribet, where the L-values considered are algebraic.

Let K be a Galois CM extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with K^+ the totally real subfield of K with $[K : K^+] = 2$. Let G denote the Galois group of K over $\mathbb{Q}$. Let the degree of K over $\mathbb{Q}$ be $2g$. Let τ denote the element of order 2 in the Galois group of K over K^+.

By the Dirichlet unit theorem, the rank of the group of units U_K of K is $(g-1)$. The rank of the group of units U_{K^+} is also $(g-1)$. By theorem 4.12 of Washington's book [Was], U_{K^+} together with the roots of unity in K generates a subgroup of U_K of index at most 2. It follows that if R_K denotes the regulator of K, and R_{K^+}, the regulator of K^+, then up to a possible factor of 2, $R_K = R_{K^+}$.

Let h_K (resp. h_{K^+}) denote the class number of K (resp. K^+). By the class number formula for K and K^+,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_K(s) &= \tfrac{h_K R_K}{w_K} s^{g-1} + \text{ higher order terms}, \\ \zeta_{K^+}(s) &= h_{K^+} R_{K^+} s^{g-1} + \text{ higher order terms}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that K^+ being a totally real field, it has only ± 1 as roots of unity.

It follows that

$$\zeta_K/\zeta_{K^+}(s) = \frac{h_K}{h_{K^+}} \frac{1}{w_K} + a_1 s + \text{ higher order terms},$$

hence,

$$\prod_{\rho(\tau)=-1} L(0,\rho)^{\dim \rho} = \frac{h_K}{h_{K^+}} \frac{1}{w_K},$$

where the product is taken over all irreducible representations ρ of $G = \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ with $\rho(\tau) = -1$.

It is known that $L(0,\rho) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ for $\rho(\tau) = -1$. This is a simple consequence of Siegel's theorem that partial zeta functions of a totally real number field take rational values at all non-positive integers, cf. Tate's book [Tate]. (Note that to prove $L(0,\rho) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ for $\rho(\tau) = -1$, it suffices by Brauer to prove it for abelian CM extensions by a Lemma of Serre mentioned in greater detail in Remark 6 below.)

Let H_K (resp. H_{K^+}) denote the class group of K (resp. K^+). Observe that the kernel of the natural map from H_{K^+} to H_K is a 2-group. (This follows from using the norm mapping from H_K to H_{K^+}.) Therefore since we are interested in p-primary components for only odd primes p, H_{K^+} can be considered to be a subgroup of H_K, and the quotient H_K/H_{K^+} becomes a G-module of order h_K/h_{K^+}. We will let w_K denote the group of roots of unity of K considered as a module for G.

Conjecture 3. *Let K be a CM, Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with K^+ its totally real subfield, and $\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/K^+)$, the nontrivial element of the Galois group. Let $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ be an irreducible, odd (i.e., $\rho(\tau) = -1$) representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ with $\bar{\rho}$ its reduction mod $\mathfrak{p}$ for p an odd prime. Let $\omega : \mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \to (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$ be the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ on the p-th roots of unity in K (so $\omega = 1$ if $\zeta_p \notin K$). Write $\bar{\rho} = \sum n_i \bar{\rho}_i$. Then if $\bar{\rho}_j \neq \omega^{-1}$, and $\bar{L}(0,\bar{\rho}_j) = 0 \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ (cf. Conjecture 2) $[H_K/H_{K^+}] \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ contains $n_j \dim(\rho) \bar{\rho}_j^\vee$ in its semi-simplification, with different ρ's contributing independently to the semi-simplification of*

*$[H_K/H_{K^+}] \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$, filling it up except for the ω-component. If $\omega \neq 1$, we make no assertion on the ω-component in $[H_K/H_{K^+}] \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$, but if $\omega = 1$, there is no ω-component inside $[H_K/H_{K^+}] \otimes \bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ (see the remarks 3 and **??** below).*

Remark 3. In the context of this conjecture, there is a question in representation theory which plays a role too: Given a finite group G, what are the finite dimensional irreducible representations $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ such that the mod-p representation $\bar{\rho}$ contains the trivial representation of G in its semi-simplification? See the lemma below.

In our context with CM fields etc., there is one case which does not involve dealing with this subtle question. To elaborate on this, let G be a finite group together with a character $\omega : G \to (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$, and with a central element τ of order 2, i.e., $\tau^2 = 1$; the question is to understand the finite dimensional irreducible representations $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ such that the mod-p representation $\bar{\rho}$ contains the character ω of G in its semi-simplification with $\rho(\tau) = -1$? If the character $\omega \neq 1$, then we further are given that $\omega(\tau) = -1$. However, $\omega : G \to (\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$ might be the trivial character corresponding to the CM field E having no p-th roots of unity. In this case, there are no such irreducible representations $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ such that the mod-p representation $\bar{\rho}$ contains the character $\omega^{-1} = 1$, because $\rho(\tau) = -1$ continues to hold mod-p!

Lemma 1. *Let G be a finite group, and p a prime number dividing the order of G. Then the number of trivial representations of G appearing in the semi-simplification of $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$ equals $|P| \cdot a_p(G)$, where P is a p-Sylow subgroup of G, and $a_p(G) \geq 1$ denotes the number of trivial representations of G appearing in the semi-simplification of $\mathbb{F}_p[G/P]$. In particular, there are always non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{C}$-representations of G whose reduction mod-p contains the trivial representation of G.*

Proof. Observe that

$$\mathbb{F}_p[G] = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \mathrm{Ind}_{\{e\}}^P \mathbb{F}_p = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\mathbb{F}_p[P]).$$

Since any irreducible representation of P (in characteristic p) is the trivial representation, the semi-simplification of $\mathbb{F}_p[P]$ is the same as $|P|$ copies of the trivial representation. Conclusion of the lemma follows. □

Remark 4. The integer $a_p(G) \geq 1$ introduced in this lemma seems of interest. For $G = \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, $a_p(G) = 1$. For any group G in which a p-Sylow subgroup is normal, or more generally in a group G with a p-Sylow subgroup P, and another subgroup H of G of order coprime to p with $G = HP$, we have $a_p(G) = 1$. The author is grateful to Bhama Srinivasan for conveying an example of Paul Fong that for $p = 5$, and $G = A_5$, the number $a_5(A_5) = 2$.

Remark 5. I should add that Ribet's theorem is specific to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$ and although this section is very general, it could also be specialized to simply CM abelian extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$, and the action of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ on the full class group of K. Since class group of an abelian extension is not totally obvious from the classgroup of the corresponding cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, even if we knew everything in the style of Ribet for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$, presumably there is still some work left to be done, and not just book keeping (for n which is a composite number)!

4. Deligne-Ribet

In this section we pursue the theme of L-values modulo $\mathfrak{p}$ giving some evidence to Conjecture 1. We begin by recalling the following theorem of Deligne-Ribet, cf. [DR].

Theorem. *Let F be a totally real number field, and let $\chi : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \bar{\mathbb{Q}}^{\times}$ be a character of finite order cutting out a CM extension K of F (which is not totally real). Let w be the order of the group of roots of unity in K. Then,*

$$wL(0,\chi) \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Conjecture 4. *Let F be a totally real number field, and let $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be an irreducible representation of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ cutting out a CM extension K of F (with K not totally real). Let w be the order of the group of roots of unity in K. Then:*

$$wL(0,\rho) \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Remark 6. Note Brauer's theorem according to which any representation of a finite group G can be written as a virtual sum of representations of G induced from one dimensional representations of subgroups of G. In our context, we will need a modification due to Serre, cf. Lemma 1.3 of Chapter III of Tate's book [Tate], to keep track of the central characters in this theorem of Brauer.

Recall that since we are only interested in CM extensions of F which are not totally real, the representation ρ of G is totally 'odd', i.e., there is a fixed central element $\tau \in G$ with $\tau^2 = 1$ such that $\rho(\tau)$ is the scalar matrix $-1 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, and in this case by the above mentioned lemma of Serre we can assume that in expressing ρ as a virtual sum of representations induced from one dimensional representations of subgroups of G,

$$\rho = \sum_i n_i \mathrm{Ind}_{H_i}^{G} \chi_i,$$

each of the field extensions of F cut out by H_i are totally real, and the characters χ_i define CM extensions of F which are not totally real.

Therefore if all the n_i's were non-negative integers, our question above will be a consequence of Deligne-Ribet theorem, but we face the 'usual' problem in dealing with virtual sums.

Remark 7. If there are no p-th roots of unity in K, then by the Deligne-Ribet theorem for abelian characters, and our conjecture 4 more generally, $L(0,\rho) \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ for any irreducible representation $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ cutting out a CM extension K of F (with K not totally real). This is consistent with our Conjecture 1 (mod-p Artin-Tate conjecture) since in this case by remark 3, $\omega \otimes \bar{\rho}$ does not contain the trivial representation.

5. Integrality of Abelian L-values for $\mathbb{Q}$

The aim of this section is to prove certain results on integrality of $L(0,\chi)$ for χ an odd Dirichlet character of $\mathbb{Q}$. Presumably these are well-known results although I have not been able to find. These results generalize the theorem of Deligne-Ribet in this very particular case.

Lemma 2. *For integers $m > 1, n > 1$, with $(m,n) = 1$, let $\chi = \chi_1 \times \chi_2$ be a primitive Dirichlet character on $(\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z})^\times = (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with $\chi(-1) = -1$. Then,*

$$L(0,\chi) = -B_{1,\chi} = -\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{a=1}^{mn} a\chi(a),$$

is an algebraic integer, i.e., belongs to $\bar{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof. Observe that $B_{1,\chi} = \frac{1}{mn}\sum_{a=1}^{mn} a\chi(a)$, has a possible fraction by mn, and that in this sum over $a \in \{1, 2, \cdots, mn\}$, if we instead sum over an arbitrary set A of integers which have these residues mod mn, then $\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{a\in A} a\chi(a)$, will differ from $B_{1,\chi}$ by an integral element (in $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$). Since our aim is to prove that $B_{1,\chi}$ is integral, it suffices to prove that $\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{a\in A} a\chi(a)$ is integral for some set of representatives $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$ of residues mod mn.

For an integer $a \in \{1, 2, \cdots, m\}$, let $\bar{a}$ be an arbitrary integer whose reduction mod m is a, and whose reduction mod n is 1. Similarly, for an integer $b \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$, let $\bar{b}$ be an arbitrary integer whose reduction mod n is b and whose reduction mod m is 1. Clearly, the set of integers $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}$ represents — exactly once — each residue class mod mn, and that $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}$ as an element in $\mathbb{Z}$ goes to the pair $(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}/m \times \mathbb{Z}/n$. (It is important to note that $\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}$ as an element in $\mathbb{Z}$ is *not* congruent to ab mod mn, and therein lies a subtlety in the Chinese remainder theorem: there is no simple inverse to the natural isomorphism: $\mathbb{Z}/mn \to \mathbb{Z}/m \times \mathbb{Z}/n$.)

By definition of the character χ, $\chi(\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}) = \chi_1(a)\chi_2(b)$. It follows that,

$$\frac{1}{mn}\sum \bar{a}\bar{b}\chi(\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}) - \left[\frac{1}{m}\sum_{a=1}^{m} a\chi_1(a)\right] \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{b=1}^{n} b\chi_2(b)\right] \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}. \qquad (\star)$$

Since the character χ is odd, one of the characters, say χ_2 is even (and χ_1 is odd).

Observe that,

$$B_{1,\chi_2} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{b=1}^{n} b\chi_2(b) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{b=1}^{n} (n-b)\chi_2(b).$$

It follows that,

$$\frac{2}{n}\sum_{b=1}^{n} b\chi_2(b) = \sum_{b=1}^{n} \chi_2(b) = 0,$$

where the last sum is zero because the character χ_2 is assumed to be non-trivial.

Since

$$\frac{1}{mn}\sum \bar{a}\bar{b}\chi(\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b}) - \frac{1}{mn}\sum_{c=1}^{mn} c\chi(c) \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}},$$

by the equation $(\star)$, it follows that:

$$\frac{1}{mn}\sum_{c=1}^{mn} c\chi(c) \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}},$$

as desired. □

Lemma 3. *For p a prime, let χ be a primitive Dirichlet character on $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with $\chi(-1) = -1$. Write $(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^\times = (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times \times (1+p\mathbb{Z}/1+p^n\mathbb{Z})$, and the character χ as $\chi_1 \times \chi_2$ with respect to this decomposition. Then,*

$$L(0,\chi) = -B_{1,\chi} = -\frac{1}{p^n}\sum_{a=1}^{p^n} a\chi(a),$$

is an algebraic integer, i.e., belongs to $\bar{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if $\chi_1 \neq \omega^{-1}$.

Proof. Assuming that $\chi_1 \neq \omega^{-1}$, we prove that $B_{1,\chi}$ belongs to $\bar{\mathbb{Z}} \subset \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

By an argument similar to the one used in the previous lemma, it can be checked that,

$$\frac{1}{p^n}\sum_{a=1}^{p^n} a\chi(a) - \left[\frac{1}{p}\sum_{a=1}^{p} a\chi_1(a)\right]\cdot\left[\frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\sum_{b=1}^{p^{n-1}}(1+bp)\chi_2(1+bp)\right] \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}. \qquad (\star).$$

If $\chi_1 \neq \omega^{-1}$, $\frac{1}{p}\sum_{a=1}^{p} a\chi_1(a)$ is easily seen to be integral. To prove the lemma, it then suffices to prove that, $\left[\frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\sum_{b=1}^{p^{n-1}}(1+bp)\chi_2(1+bp)\right]$ is integral.

Note the isomorphism of the additive group $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with the multiplicative group $1+p\mathbb{Z}_p$ by the map $n \to (1+p)^n \in 1+p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $\chi_2(1+p) = \alpha$ with $\alpha^{p^{n-1}} = 1$.

Then (the first and third equality below is up to $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\sum_{b=1}^{p^{n-1}}(1+bp)\chi_2(1+bp) &= \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\sum_{c=1}^{p^{n-1}}(1+p)^c\alpha^c \\
&= \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\sum_{c=1}^{p^{n-1}}[\alpha(1+p)]^c \\
&= \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\frac{1-[\alpha(1+p)]^{p^{n-1}}}{1-\alpha(1+p)} \\
&= \frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\frac{[1-(1+p)^{p^{n-1}}]}{[1-\alpha(1+p)]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that since $\alpha^{p^{n-1}} = 1$ either $\alpha = 1$, or $1-\alpha$ is a uniformizer in $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^d})$ for some $d \leq n-1$. Therefore either $-p = [1-\alpha(1+p)]$ if $\alpha = 1$, or $[1-\alpha(1+p)]$ is a uniformizer in $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^d})$. Finally, it suffices to observe that,

$$(1+p)^{p^{n-1}} \equiv 1 \bmod p^n,$$

hence, $\frac{1}{p^{n-1}}\sum_{b=1}^{p^{n-1}}(1+bp)\chi_2(1+bp)$ is integral.

If $\chi_1 = \omega^{-1}$, the same argument gives non-integrality; we omit the details. □

The following proposition follows by putting the previous two lemmas together, and making an argument similar to what went into the proof of these two lemmas. We omit the details.

Proposition 1. *Primitive Dirichlet characters $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times \to \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times$ for which $L(0,\chi)$ does not belong to $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ are exactly those for which:*

(1) $n = p^d$.
(2) $\chi = \omega^{-1}$ *mod* $\mathfrak{p}$.

The following consequence of the proposition suggests that prudence is to be exercised when discussing congruences of L-values for Artin representations which are congruent. (Our formulation of Conjecture 2 excludes the characters which are involved in the corollary below.)

Corollary 1. *Let p, q be odd primes with $p|(q-1)$. For any character χ_2 of $(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of order p, define the character $\chi = \omega^{-1} \times \chi_2$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Then although the characters ω^{-1} and χ have the same reduction modulo p, $L(0, \omega^{-1})$ is p-adically non-integral whereas $L(0, \chi)$ is integral.*

Question 2. Is there a primitive Dirichlet characters $\chi : (\mathbb{Z}/p^d m)^\times \to \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times$ with $(p, m) = 1$, $m > 1$, for which $\chi = \omega^{-1}$ mod $\mathfrak{p}$ so that by Proposition 1, $L(0, \chi)$ is $\mathfrak{p}$-integral. Is it possible to have $L(0, \chi) = 0$ modulo $\mathfrak{p}$, the maximal ideal of $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p$? Our proofs in this section are 'up to $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$', so good to detect integrality, but not good for questions modulo $\mathfrak{p}$. The question is relevant to conjecture 3 to see if the character ω appears in the classgroup H/H^+ for $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^d m})$; such a character is known not to appear in the classgroup of H/H^+ for $E = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^d})$.

6. Class number formula again

The previous section on the integrality of $L(0, \chi)$ was inspired by considerations of the class number formula, the heuristics of which suggests that such an integrality hold much more generally which our conjecture 2 is unable to detect.

Let p be a prime congruent to 1 mod 4, and $E = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$. Let ω_p be the character of $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/E)$ of order $(p-1)/2$ with values in $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times$ giving the action on ζ_p.

Let λ be any character of $\mathbb{A}_E^\times / E^\times$ of order p, in particular of trivial infinity type. Let K be the field cut out by the character $\omega_p \cdot \lambda$ of $\mathbb{A}_E^\times / E^\times$ of order $p(p-1)/2$. Clealy, K is a CM field which is cyclic of degree $p(p-1)/2$ over E and contains $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$; let K^+ be its maximal real subfield.

We have,

$$\zeta_K(s) = \prod_{i=1, j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}, p} L_E(s, \omega_p^i \lambda^j),$$
$$\zeta_{K^+}(s) = \prod_{i \text{ even}} L_E(s, \omega_p^i \lambda^j),$$
$$\zeta_K/\zeta_{K^+}(s) = \prod_{i \text{ odd}} L_E(s, \omega_p^i \lambda^j).$$

By the class number formula,

$$h^-/p = \prod_{i \text{ odd}} L_E(s, \omega_p^i \lambda^j) = \prod_{j=1}^{j=p} L_E(s, \omega_p^{-1} \lambda^j) \cdot \prod_{\text{rest}} L(s, \omega_p^i \lambda^j). \quad (\star)$$

Here we are assuming that the field K does not contain p^2-th roots of unity. Observe now that $L_E(s, \omega_p^{-1})$ already has the fraction $\frac{1}{p}$, and therefore the other terms

$L_E(s, \omega_p^{-1}\lambda^j)$, $j \neq p$ on the right hand side of the class number formula, should be p-adic integral — just as in the previous section — although we do not know how to prove it now.

Observe, however, that if $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{p^2})$, then the class number formula for $\zeta_K/\zeta_{K^+}(s)$, i.e., the equation $(\star)$ above, will have h^-/p^2 (instead of h^-/p) on the left hand side, and now (because of the term p^2 instead of earlier p) there is a room for $L_E(s, \omega_p^{-1}\lambda^j)$, $j \neq p$, to be non p-adic integral, each one of these $L_E(s, \omega_p^{-1}\lambda^j)$ being p-adically $(1 - \zeta_p)$ (up to a unit) which has p-adic valuation of $1/(p-1)$ which accounts perfectly for the fraction appearing in the class number formula for $\zeta_K/\zeta_{K^+}(s)$ which is $1/p^2$ in h^-/p^2 (where $1/p$ comes from $L_E(s, \omega_p^{-1})$ and each of the other $(p-1)$ terms $L_E(s, \omega_p^{-1}\lambda^j)$ contributing $1/(1-\zeta_p)$ up to a p-adic unit).

To summarize, if E is any general totally real number field, then for a finite order character χ of $\mathbb{A}_E^\times/E^\times$ cutting out a non-real but CM extension, by our conjecture 2, if $L_E(s, \chi)$ has a pole at $s = 0$ then χ mod p must be ω_p^{-1}; however, if the reduction mod p is indeed ω_p^{-1}, both options are there: $L_E(s, \chi)$ may be p-integral at $s = 0$, or may not. Here is our proposal to decide this issue, making precise the theorem of Deligne-Ribet for L-values at 0.

Conjecture 5. *Let E be a totally real number field, and $\chi : \mathbb{A}_E^\times/E^\times \to \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p^\times$ a finite order character, cutting out a non-real but CM extension. Then $L_E(s, \chi) \notin \bar{\mathbb{Z}}_p$ if and only if*

(1) χ mod p is ω^{-1}.
(2) χ is a character of $\mathbb{A}_E^\times/E^\times$ associated to a character of the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(E(\zeta_q)/E)$ for some q which is a power of p.

If for E a real quadratic field, we could construct a character χ which is not invariant under $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$ but whose reduction mod p is ω_p^{-1}, and for which $L_E(s, \chi)$ is non p-integral at $s = 0$, by inducing the character χ from E to $\mathbb{Q}$, we would have constructed an irreducible Artin representation π of dimension 2 cutting out a CM field for which $L(0, \pi)$ is non p-integral, answering question 1 (in the negative); however, conjecture 5 says that if $L_E(s, \chi)$ is non p-integral at $s = 0$, then χ must be invariant under $\mathrm{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q})$.

7. Epilogue

According to Bloch-Kato conjecture [BK], for any motive M say over $\mathbb{Q}$, there is a canonical way to write,

$$L(s, M) = L^{\mathrm{alg}}(0, M) \cdot L^{\mathrm{tr}}(0, M)s^r + \text{ higher order terms},$$

with $L^{\mathrm{alg}}(0, M)$ algebraic.

We would have liked to formulate a conjecture about $\mathfrak{p}$-integrality of $L^{\mathrm{alg}}(0, M)$, exactly as we did for a class of Artin motives as Conjecture 1, and consider the analogue of Conjecture 2 which would be much more non-trivial since given M mod-p, gives us so less handle on M — in particular, the order of vanishing at 0, the integer r above, could vary too for a given M mod-p — unlike for Artin representations where r was always zero. We would then have liked to apply these to the class number formula — as we did in this paper — to understand the p-component of the classgroup of

$\mathbb{Q}(E[p])$ as a module for $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q})$ assuming the Galois group to $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in the spirit of Herbrand-Ribet. Here E is an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. A precise formulation seems fraught with difficulties, see [Prasad] for an attempt.

We end the paper by quoting a result from Vatsal [Vat] which is along the lines we desire — as a first step towards formulating Conjecture 2 for arbitrary motives — giving congruences among algebraic integers which are critical L-values for elliptic modular forms:

$$\tau(\bar{\chi})m!\frac{L(m+1,f,\chi)}{(-2\pi i)^{m+1}\Omega_f^{\pm 1}} \equiv \tau(\bar{\chi})m!\frac{L(m+1,g,\chi)}{(-2\pi i)^{m+1}\Omega_g^{\pm 1}} \bmod \mathfrak{p},$$

where $f \equiv g \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ for $\mathfrak{p}$ co-prime to the level of f and g; we refer to [Vat] for the definition of all the terms here.

Acknowledgement: The author thanks P. Colmez for suggesting that the questions posed here are not as outrageous as one might think, and for even suggesting that some of the conjectures above should have an affirmative answer as a consequence of the Main conjecture of Iwasawa theory for totally real number fields proved by A. Wiles [Wiles] if one knew the vanishing of the μ-invariant (which is a conjecture of Iwasawa proved for abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ by Ferrero-Washington). The author also thanks U.K. Anandavardhanan, C. Dalawat, C. Khare for their comments and their encouragement.

References

[BK] S. Bloch, K. Kato : *L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives,* The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 86, Birkhuser, Boston (1990), pp. 333–400

[DR] P. Deligne, K. Ribet, *Values of abelian L-functions at negative integers over totally real fields,* Invent. Math. 59 (1980), no. 3, 227–286.

[Lang] S. Lang, Cyclotomic fields I and II. Combined second edition. With an appendix by Karl Rubin. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 121. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

[Ma-Wi] B. Mazur, A. Wiles, *Class fields of abelian extensions of* $\mathbb{Q}$. Invent. Math. 76 (1984), no. 2, 179–330.

[Prasad] D. Prasad, *A proposal for non-abelian Herbrand-Ribet*; available at: http://www.math.tifr.res.in/∼dprasad/

[Ri1] K. Ribet, *A modular construction of unramified p-extensions of* $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$*, Invent. Math.,* 34, 151-162 (1976).

[Tate] J. Tate, Les Conjectures de Stark sur les Fonctions L d'Artin en $s = 0$, *Birkhauser, Progress in mathematics, vol. 47* (1984).

[Vat] V. Vatsal, *Canonical periods and congruence formulae.* Duke Math. J. 98 (1999), no. 2, 397–419.

[Was] L. C. Washington, Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields, *GTM, Springer-Verlag, vol. 83*, (1982).

[Wiles] A. Wiles, *The Iwasawa conjecture for totally real fields.* Ann. of Math. (2) 131 (1990), no. 3, 493–540.

Dipendra Prasad
Tata Insititute of Fundamental Research, Colaba, Mumbai-400005, INDIA.
e-mail: dprasad@math.tifr.res.in